Context Switch in TOS

Objectives

- Explain non-preemptive scheduling
- Explain step-by-step how a context switch works in TOS

Status Quo

- We can create new processes in TOS.
- New processes are added to the ready queue.
- The ready queue contains all runnable processes.
- BUT: so far, none of these new processes ever gets executed.
- What is missing: running those processes!
- What needs to be done: implement a function that switches the context, so that another process gets the chance to run.

Context switching in TOS

- First step: cooperative multi-tasking
 - Pre-emptive multi-tasking will come later
 - For now, a process voluntarily gives up the CPU by calling the function resign()
- Eventually control is passed back to the original caller because it is assumed that other processes also call resign()
- Therefore, from a process' perspective, resign() is not doing anything, except causing a delay before resign() returns

resign() example

- Assumption: there is only one process in the ready queue
- In this example, resign() simply does nothing, like a function call that immediately returns.
- active_proc is not changed

```
.
kprintf ("Location A\n");
resign();
kprintf ("Location B\n");
```

Output

Location A Location B

resign() example

- Assumption: after the call to create_process(), there are two processes on the ready queue and process_a has a higher priority
- Call to resign() does a context switch to process_a, because it has the higher priority
- active_proc changes after resign

Output

Location A

Location C

```
void process_a (PROCESS self, PARAM param)
ł
  kprintf ("Location C \n");
  assert (self == active_proc);
  while (1);
void kernel_main()
ł
  init_process();
  init dispatcher();
  create_process (process_a, 5, 0,
                   "Process A");
  kprintf ("Location A\n");
  resign();
  kprintf ("Location B \setminus n");
  while (1);
```

resign() example

Assumption: after the call to void process a (PROCESS self, PARAM param) create_process(), there are two processes on the ready queue and kprintf ("Location C n"); process_a has a higher priority remove ready queue (self); First call to resign() switches resign(); context to process a kprintf ("Location D\n"); process a removes itself from the while (1); ready queue and then calls resign() again. This will do a context switch back to the first process. void kernel_main() If remove_ready_queue(self) were not called, the program would print "Location D" instead of "Location B" ł init_process(); init dispatcher(); create_process (process_a, 5, 0 Process A"); Output kprintf ("Location A n"); resign(); Location A kprintf ("Location $B \n"$); Location C while (1); Location B

Understanding resign()

- resign() implements a context switch, i.e. it gives another process the chance to run.
- Conceptually, resign() is doing the following:
 - Save the context of the current process pointed to by active_proc
 - -active_proc = dispatcher()
 - Restore the context
 - RET

But how does it work exactly?

%ESP

- Process 2 previously called resign()
- Process 1 calls resign(), the stacks are as shown
- The goal is to "suspend" process 1 within resign() and "resume" where process 2 left off in resign()
- First step: save the registers for process 1

•	
Used stack	
return addr	
EAX	Stack frame of process 2
ECX	, i
EDX	
EBX	
EBP	
ESI	
EDI	
Used stack	
 EIP (RET)	Stock from of process 1
	Slack frame of process 1

%ESP ------

 State of process 1 is saved -- now we actually make the switch:

active_proc->esp = %ESP; active_proc = dispatcher(); %ESP = active_proc->esp;

•	
Used stack	
return addr	
EAX	Stack frame of process 2
ECX	•
EDX	
EBX	
EBP	
ESI	
EDI	
Used stack	
EIP (RET)	Otack from a of process 4
EAX	- Stack frame of process f
ECX	
EDX	
EBX	-
EBP	-
ESI	-
EDI	

- Finally, we restore the state of process 2 by popping the saved register values from the stack
- Note, the registers were stored on the stack when process 2 entered resign()

	Used stack		
	return addr		
	EAX	Stack frame of process 2	
	ECX		
	EDX		
	EBX		
	EBP	-	
	ESI	-	
%ESP	EDI	-	
	Used stack		
	EIP (RET)		
	EAX	 Stack frame of process 1 	
	ECX		
	EDX	T	
	EBX	Ť	
	EBP	Т	
	ESI	T	
	EDI	Ť	

• We're done -- when we finish with the ret instruction, we jump back to where process 2 called resign()



Understanding resign()

- It is especially important to note that the context pushed is not necessarily the same as the context popped
 - recall that active_proc and (hence) %ESP register changed in between push and pop context.
 - then we aren' t looking at the same stack now!
 - but how can we be sure that the ESP register is pointing to some stack?

Understanding resign()

- We made the assumption that wherever active_proc->esp points to is where context of the current process is saved
- To satisfy this assumption, we always need to save the context of a process so that it can be popped at some time in the future
- We have already done this!
 - for a new process we setup the stack (see create_process())
 - for process calling resign() we setup the stack (identical to the way we did it for create_process()) before call to dispatch()
 - now you should be able to connect the dots

- By creating the initial stack frame carefully in create_process(), we ensure that resign() can switch to a brand new process as well as one that previously called resign()
- Process 1 is active
- Process 2 was created with create_process() but has never run.

	•		
	param		
	self		
	0		
	func	Stack frame of process 2	
	∘ (EAX)		
	• (ECX)		
	• (EDX)		
	• (EBX)		
	° (EBP)		
	• (ESI)		
	° (EDI)		
	•		
	Used stack		
	EIP (RET)		
%ESP		Stack frame of process 1	

Understanding resign()

- And don't forget because the context popped was different than the context pushed in the beginning of resign(), the return address also is different
- So resign() pushed one return address and popped another return address by clever ESP register manipulation
- What does this mean? resign() returns to some other address, not to the caller process
- tada! we have a context switch!

Notes on inline assembly

• As explained earlier, resign() does amongst others the following:

```
active_proc->esp = %ESP;
active_proc = dispatcher();
%ESP = active_proc->esp;
```

- The first and the third instruction require inline assembly, because the %ESP register is accessed.
- There is no C-instruction with which this could be achieved, that is why inline assembly is necessary.

Accessing the Stack Pointer

• This can be accomplished with the following instructions:

```
/* Save the stack pointer to the PCB */
asm ("movl %%esp,%0" : "=r" (active_proc->esp) : );
/* Select a new process to run */
active_proc = dispatcher();
/* Load the stack pointer from the PCB */
asm ("movl %0,%%esp" : : "r" (active_proc->esp));
```

- Notes:
 - The register name %ESP has to be prefixed with another %
 - The specifier "=r" means "an output parameter that should be placed in an x86 register"
 - The specifier "r" means "an input parameter that should be placed in an x86 register"

- Process 1 is active, it calls resign()
- Process 2 previously called resign(), it is ready to run but not currently running.
- Inside resign(), assume that dispatcher() returns process 2 so we must perform a switch from process 1 to process 2.



• First step: save the registers for process 1



• First step: save the registers for process 1



• Next step: save the stack pointer for process 1



• Next step: choose new process- dispatcher()



• Next step: choose new process- dispatcher()



• Next step: restore the stack pointer for process 2



• Next step: restore the stack pointer for process 2



• Next step: restore the registers for process 2



• Next step: restore the registers for process 2



• Finished! We return from resign() and process 2 continues where it left off



- Context switch is implemented by one function: void resign()
- This function is located in the file ~/tos/kernel/dispatch.c

Assignment 4

- Implement resign() (in dispatch.c)
- Test cases:

Assignment

- test_resign_1
- test_resign_2
- test_resign_3
- test_resign_4
- test_resign_5
- test_resign_6
- Hint: the tests for assignment 4 may fail because of errors in assignment 3!

Assignment 4 Hints

- This project is relatively straightforward to code, but difficult to debug
- In general, using assert is a good thing but here it is dangerous:

```
active_proc = dispatcher();
```

```
assert(active_proc != NULL);
```

• Calling assert pushes arguments on the stack but we are trying to manually manage the stack!

Safe assertions in resign

In this case, we can get work around the problem:

```
void check_active() {
    assert(active_proc != NULL);
}
...
active_proc = dispatcher();
check_active();
```

- Inside resign(), we call check_active() which has no arguments so no stack problems
- This approach is only necessary inside resign()

Inline Assembly

- For simple self-contained instructions: asm("pushl %eax");
- But sometimes we need to refer to a C expression inside the inline assembly: asm("movl %esp, active_proc->esp");
- Things get really messy here, just cut-andpaste from the next slide!

Inline Assembly

• The middle steps of resign():

```
/* Save the stack pointer to the PCB */
asm ("movl %%esp,%0" : "=r" (active_proc->esp) : );
```

```
/* Select a new process to run */
active_proc = dispatcher();
```

/* Load the stack pointer from the PCB */
asm ("movl %0,%%esp" : : "r" (active_proc->esp));

 Notes the register name %esp has to be prefixed with another %

Revisiting become_zombie()

- The current become_zombie() implementation is as follows:
 void become_zombie()
 {
 active_proc->state = STATE_ZOMBIE;
 while (1);
 }
- The endless loop is just needlessly burning CPU cycles. With resign() this can done more efficiently:

```
void become_zombie()
{
    active_proc->state = STATE_ZOMBIE;
    remove_ready_queue(active_proc);
    resign();
    // Never reached
    while (1);
}
```



PacMan (1)

 Earlier you were told to create several ghost processes in init_pacman() via:

```
int i;
for (i = 0; i < num_ghosts; i++)
    create_process(ghost_proc, 3, 0, "Ghost");
```

- It was said although you create several ghost processes, you will not see them yet, because they will not yet get scheduled.
- After the for-loop, add a call to resign() as the next experiment.
- Because the ghost process has a higher priority than the boot process, you should see *one* ghost.
- Note: you will only see one ghost, even though you might have created several ghost processes (why?)

PacMan (2)

- The reason you will see only one ghost is because TOS only supports cooperative multitasking at this point.
- In order to see the other ghosts, each ghost needs to voluntarily relinquish • control of the CPU by making a call to resign().
- Earlier you were told to implement a function called create_new_ghost() • according to the following pseudo code:

```
void create new ghost()
Ł
    GHOST ghost;
    init_ghost(&ghost);
    while (1) {
       remove ghost at old position (using remove_cursor())
       compute new position of ghost
       show ghost at new position (using show cursor())
       do a delay
       resign()
```

Add a call to resign() in that function as indicated above. Now you should see several ghosts!